

Assessment Of The Severe Weather Environment Of North America Simulated By CCSM3

Patrick Marsh
School of Meteorology
University of Oklahoma

Funded by NSF SGER Grant to Drs. David Karoly and Harold Brooks

Background

- "…there is little guidance from AOGCMs concerning the future behavior of tornadoes, hail, or lightning."

- "Due to the fact that these severe weather phenomena are sub-grid scale…we cannot reach any definitive conclusions concerning possible future increases in hail and lightning, and there is no information from AOGCMs concerning future change in tornado activity."

- IPCC Third Assessment






Image taken from Brooks et al., 2003


*Average number of 6 hour periods per year with CAPE*Shear > 10,000 (Global Reanalysis)*




*Average number of 6 hour periods per year with CAPE*Shear > 10,000 (CCSM3)*




Normalized CAPE - Shear Distribution (Central)






*Number of 6 hour periods with Cape*Shear > 10,000*




1990 1996 1999


Normalized CAPE Distributions (Central)



Mean CAPE by Month per 6 hour period (Global Reanalysis)



Mean CAPE by Month per 6 hour period (CCSM3)



Conclusions

- CCSM3 resolves spatial distribution of CAPE reasonably well
- CCSM3 mean CAPE is about half of Global Reanalysis mean CAPE
- CCSM3 is a little high with the magnitude of the 0-6km shear